Two-dimensional conducting layer on the SrTiO₃ surface induced by hydrogenation

Y. Takeuchi,¹ R. Hobara,¹ R. Akiyama,^{1,*} A. Takayama⁰,^{1,†} S. Ichinokura,^{1,‡} R. Yukawa,^{2,§} I. Matsuda,³ and S. Hasegawa¹

¹Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113–0033, Japan

²Institute of Materials Structure Science, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305–0801, Japan

³Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277–8581, Japan

(Received 5 April 2019; revised manuscript received 21 January 2020; accepted 5 February 2020; published 27 February 2020)

We found that a surface state induced by hydrogenation on the surface of $SrTiO_3(001)$ (STO) did not obey the rigid-band model, which was confirmed by *in situ* electrical resistivity measurements in ultrahigh vacuum. With exposure of atomic hydrogen on the STO, a surface state (H-induced donor state, HDS) appears within the bulk band gap (an in-gap state), which donates electrons thermally activated to the conduction band, resulting in downward bending of the bulk bands beneath the surface. The doped electrons flow through the space-charge layer in two-dimensional manner parallel to the surface. The observed semiconductor behavior in the temperature dependence of electronic conductivity is explained by the thermal activation of carriers. The HDS and the conduction band are nonrigid in energy position; they come closer with increasing the hydrogen adsorption. Eventually the HDS saturates its position around 60 meV below the conduction-band minimum. The sheet conductivity, accordingly, also saturates at $\sim 1.0 \,\mu S \Box$ with increasing hydrogen adsorption, corresponding to completion of the hydrogenation of the surface.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.101.085422

I. INTRODUCTION

Surfaces and interfaces of perovskite-type oxides such as SrTiO₃ (STO), have attracted much attention since their electronic characteristics drastically change depending on the states of oxygen vacancies and surface termination. The surface is easily reconstructed to 1 \times 1, 1 \times 2, $\sqrt{5}$ \times $\sqrt{5}$ -R26.6°, and $\sqrt{13} \times \sqrt{13}$ -R33.7° in accordance with the periodicity of oxygen vacancies on the surface which can be controlled by heat treatments [1-5]. Corresponding to such reconstructions, the electrical conductivity of surface also changes significantly [6]. Furthermore, the conducting surface layer of STO has been demonstrated to exhibit characteristics of two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG), 2D liquid (2DEL), and even 2D superconductivity [7-9]. The 2DEG observed at STO surface bears the aspect of the electrical conduction from a polaron phase to a metallic phase with changing the electron concentration [7,10].

We reported the appearance of 2DEL at the STO surface with hydrogenation as predicted by *ab initio* calculations [11], which was confirmed by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) [12,13]. According to this, with irradiating hydrogen atoms on TiO₂-terminated STO surface by cracking H₂ gas, a metallic surface state appears around 60 meV below the Fermi level $E_{\rm F}$. Valence-band and core-level photoemission spectroscopy with *s* -and *p*-polarized light also indicated that H atoms bond to oxygen atoms on STO surface; H-induced peaks in the photoemission spectra which lie across the valence band are attributed to the bonding state of σ (O–H) bond in the surface-normal direction [13]. This is consistent with the theoretical prediction that H atoms do not diffuse into the bulk STO crystal but instead they bond to O atoms on the TiO₂-terminated surface [11]. Although this surface state is expected to affect the transport properties because it is close to $E_{\rm F}$, the electrical transport characteristics of the H-adsorbed STO (H-STO) surface have not been investigated in detail because the hydrogenated surface reacts easily with air at *ex situ* measurements.

In this study, *in situ* transport measurements of H-STO in ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) were performed systematically with nondoped STO substrates by means of a four-tip scanning tunnel microscope (STM). The results have revealed that a surface state appears within the bulk band gap by hydrogenation (a H-induced donor state, HDS), which donates electrons thermally activated to the conduction band (CB). In addition, the HDS becomes closer to the conduction-band minimum (CBM) in energy position with increasing adsorption of H atoms, and eventually is located at 60 meV below the CBM. This change in energy position of HDS explains the semiconducting nature in temperature dependence of surface electrical conductivity. The HDS and bulk bands do not obey the rigid-band model during the irradiation of H atoms.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Transport measurements were carried out with a fourtip STM whose tungsten tips were controlled in position

^{*}akiyama@surface.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

[†]Present address: Department of Physics, Waseda University, 3-4-1 Ohkubo, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 169–8555, Japan.

^{*}Present address: Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152–8551, Japan.

[§]Present address: Division of Advanced Science and Biotechnology, Osaka University, 2-1 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan.

independently under scanning electron microscope (SEM) in the UHV environment with a base pressure in the range of 10^{-10} Torr. With this apparatus [14], transport properties such as the dimensionality and in-plane anisotropy in the electrical conduction can be analyzed [15]. The tungsten tips were heated up in the UHV chamber for desorbing the native oxide layer. The surface structure was checked by reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED). Buffered-HF (BHF)-treated nondoped STO(001) wafers (SHINKOSHA Co., Ltd., Japan) were used as the samples (15 mm \times 3 mm \times 0.5 mm in size). They had steps along [100] direction. The BHF process makes STO(001) surface with the TiO₂ termination [16]. After installing the STO sample into the UHV chamber, a clean STO(001) surface was prepared by annealing at 650 °C in atmosphere of 3×10^{-5} Torr of oxygen gas (99.999% purity) for 60 min. The STO was heated up by joule heating of a Si wafer (resistivity $\sim 10 \ \Omega \ cm$) which was cramped on the backside of STO together. The annealing procedure in the oxygen atmosphere is well known to avoid oxygen vacancies on the surface [11,16]. The oxygen vacancies lower the electrical resistance because they donate electrons [17-21]. The 1×1 pattern was confirmed by RHEED after the annealing in oxygen, which was known to be the most stable structure of the clean TiO2-terminated STO surface [3,22]. This 1×1 phase has few oxygen vacancies on the surface [23]. The contact resistance between the W STM tip and the sample surface was high (>1 T Ω), meaning that the bare STO surface is not metallic.

Then, the sample was set in front of a heated W filament for cracking H₂ molecules in the atmosphere of hydrogen gas (99.99% purity) to adsorb atomic H on the STO(001) surface. The distance from the sample to the filament was fixed at 15 cm. The hydrogenation was performed under the H₂ gas pressure of 2×10^{-5} Torr with the STO substrate kept at room temperature. The exposure time was changed under control to investigate the dependence of resistivity on H-adsorption amounts.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

After irradiation of hydrogen for 50 kilo-Langmuir (kL), the 1×1 streaks in RHEED pattern became sharper than those of the clean surface, as shown in Fig. 1(a) (left; patterns, and right; line profiles of streaks). Figure 1(c) (bottom) shows the change in the width of the (01) and $(0\overline{1})$ streaks in RHEED as a function of the amount of hydrogen irradiation. The streaks became sharper especially over 30-kL exposure of hydrogen. Although the surface reconstruction did not occur, the vertical displacement of O atoms by hydrogenation may happen. One possible reason of this change in RHEED patterns is that the crystallinity and flatness of the surface was improved, and the other possibility is that the charge-up effect on the insulating STO substrate was reduced after the hydrogen adsorption. The irradiated hydrogen atoms are known to adsorb by bonding with O atoms on the surface [12], as shown in Fig. 1(b) (bottom). Another previous report indicated that an O atom which bonds with a neighbor Ti atom vibrates in a direction perpendicular to the Ti–O bond [24]. With hydrogen irradiation, such vibration may be suppressed due to emergence of the O-H bond.



FIG. 1. (a) (Left) RHEED patterns of STO(001)-1 × 1 surface and H-STO(001)-1 × 1 (50-kL exposure of hydrogen). (Right) Intensity profiles of $(0\bar{1})$ and (01) streaks along red dashed lines in RHEED patterns at 0 L, 30 kL, and 50 kL exposure of hydrogen. These profiles were fitted well with Gaussian functions. (b) (Top) SEM image of the *in situ* square four-point probe measurement configuration, and (Bottom) a schematic picture of the atomic arrangement of H-STO surface. (c) (Top) The dependence of sheet conductivity measured at room temperature upon the H exposure. A red dashed curve is a guide for eyes. The resistance was measured by the square four-point probe method with fixing the probe distance (150 μ m). (Bottom) The full width at half maximum of (01) and (0 $\bar{1}$) streaks in RHEED pattern, obtained by the Gaussian fitting [see (a)], as a function of the H exposure.

The contact resistance between the W STM tip and the sample surface decreased significantly from over 1 T Ω to 1 M Ω by the hydrogen adsorption. By using the square fourpoint probe (4PP) method with the tip configuration as shown in Fig. 1(b) (top) at room temperature, the sheet conductivity σ_{2D} increased with the hydrogen irradiation and saturates at $\sim 1.0 \,\mu\text{S}$ over 50-kL irradiation as shown in Fig. 1(c) (top). Such an increase of conductivity was also observed in the previous study [12]. This is known by photoemission spectroscopy to be due to electron doping which causes the bulk-band downward bending near the surface. However, the magnitude of the saturated conductivity in the present study is much smaller than that in the previous one $(440 \,\mu S \Box)$ [13]. This is because in the previous study [13] a highly Nb-doped STO substrate was used to prevent charging up in ARPES measurements so that $E_{\rm F}$ crossed the CBM by hydrogenation while in the present study we used a nondoped STO substrate having $E_{\rm F}$ well below the CBM even with the hydrogenation as described below. Thus, the concentration of electrons accumulated in the 2DEL beneath the surface of the previous study is much more than that of the present study.

The annealing in oxygen was performed after the hydrogenation to remove the hydrogen atoms from the surface, and



FIG. 2. (a) A schematic of one-probe method, and (b) *I-V* curve with this configuration. (c) The four-point-probe sheet conductivity as a function of inverse temperature at different exposures of hydrogen. The probe distance in the square-4PP measurement was $150 \,\mu\text{m}$. The lines represent the fitting by the Arrhenius law, Eq. (1). The activation energies were estimated by the fitting of red circles, green squares, and blue rectangles to be $60 \pm 2 \text{ meV}$, $179 \pm 10 \text{ meV}$, and $1046 \pm 63 \text{ meV}$, respectively. The inset is the zoom-up figure for seeing the blue series in details.

then the hydrogenation was done again on the same sample. This procedure was defined as "cycle" in Fig. 1(c) (top). The change in conductivity during the hydrogenation was almost reproduced well by repeating the cycles of oxygen annealing and hydrogenation. This suggests that hydrogen desorbed reversibly from the surface by the annealing in oxygen atmosphere.

The carrier type of the H-STO surface was found to be n type from the rectifying characteristic between the W STM tip and H-STO as shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b); the current flowed from the tip to the sample when the positive bias voltage was applied to the tip.

In Fig. 2(c), the four-point-probe sheet conductivity σ_{2D} at different exposures of hydrogen is plotted as a function of the inverse temperature *T*. The conductivity can be fitted by the Arrhenius equation as follows:

$$\sigma = C \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{k_B T}\right),\tag{1}$$

where C, E_a , and k_B are a fitting coefficient, an activation energy, and Boltzmann constant, respectively. All series of data (green, red, and blue points) show inflection points; the inflection points are 281, 190, and 170 K for the respective datasets, and the segments of datasets below and above the inflection points can be roughly fitted by two kinds of Arrhenius plots. This behavior can be interpreted as that the carrier mobility changes around such temperature because the impurity scattering and phonon scattering compete as well known. The temperatures at the inflection points depend on the carrier density (i.e., degree of hydrogenation). Since the conductivity at the temperature lower than the inflection points is more intrinsic, we adopt the activation energies estimated there, 1046 ± 63 meV, 179 ± 10 meV, and 60 ± 2 meV, at 20-, 30-, and 50-kL irradiations, respectively.

As mentioned above, we can see from this result that the activation energy depends on the amount of hydrogenation; the energy drastically decreases with increasing the hydrogen exposure. This means that the energy gap between the HDS and the CBM, which corresponds to the activation energy E_a , decreases with the hydrogenation. In addition, since the values of E_a are much smaller than the band gap of STO (3.2 eV), the HDS is an in-gap state, located within the band gap.

The schematic of the band diagram based on these facts is shown in Fig. 3(a). The bulk conduction band bends downward to create a surface space-charge layer (SCL) and the CBM approaches the HDS because the HDS has a large density of states (at most $\sim 10^{14} - 10^{15} \text{ cm}^2$) as described later, which makes the Fermi level pinned to the HDS. With the progress of hydrogenation, E_a decreases and the number of resultant excited electrons activated from the HDS to the CBM becomes larger. Such electrons are transported along the SCL near the surface to reduce the sheet resistivity. Figure 3(b) shows the self-consistent calculation of the band bending and the carrier density by using the Poisson equation [25,26] when the hydrogenation is saturated. Here, since the bulk of the STO is insulating and thus has a long screening length, the thickness of SCL extends over the substrate thickness as shown in Fig. 3(c). However, it should be noted that the charge distribution is limited only near the surface, that is, most of the transport is contributed by the region less than \sim 10-nm depth from the surface as shown in Fig. 3(d). In these calculations, the following parameters were used: the dielectric constant = 300, the electron mobility = $6.5 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$ [17], the hole mobility = $0.1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$ [27], the electron effective mass = $3.53 m_0$ [28], the hole effective mass = $4.59 m_0$ [28] where m_0 is the free-electron rest mass, and temperature = 300 K. Since any anisotropy in conductivity was not observed by the square 4PP method as described later, the electrical conduction is due to the 2DEL by the SCL [8].

Contrary to the previous works [12,13] in which highly doped STO substrates were used, the present work employed the nondoped STO to measure the lateral electrical transport near the surface in detail. Thus, the initial $E_{\rm F}$ position in the present work is located around the middle of the band gap of STO while it is very close to CBM in the previous studies. In spite of such a difference, the mechanism of the band bending is basically the same; the band is bent downward with the electron doping to the CBM from the HDS by hydrogenation. Since surface states usually move together with the bulk bands during band bending, the energy difference between the HDS and the CBM is expected to be constant irrespective of the degree of band bending. In other words, the surface energy levels with respect to the bulk states are defined by the structure (rigid-band model [29]). However, intriguingly, the activation energy changes during hydrogenation in the



FIG. 3. (a) Schematic band diagram at the hydrogenation in the non-rigid-band model. E_a is the activation energy estimated in Fig. 2(c). The bulk bands are bent downward near the STO surface by electron doping thermally activated from the HDS. The electrons flow through the SCL just under the surface. In non-rigid-band model, the CBM moves downward and E_a decreases with hydrogenation. (b) The self-consistent calculation of the band bending and the carrier density by using the Poisson equation when the hydrogenation is saturated in the STO. Here, CB; conduction-band bottom, VB; valence-band top, E_i ; intrinsic level. (c) The *x* axis of (b) is changed to the log scale. The screening length is long because the bulk of STO is insulating. (d) The calculated thickness dependence of the conductivity. In these calculations, the parameters of bulk STO were used.

present system, indicating that the HDS does not obey the rigid-band model. This is because some structural changes occur during the hydrogenation, which modulates the energy difference between the HDS and the bulk bands. In fact, the theory predicts that the hydrogenation makes the surface atoms shifted with the coverage of hydrogen [11]. According to this theory, oxygen atoms are pulled out of the surface by bonding with the hydrogen atoms. Figure 3(a) shows the schematic pictures of the non-rigid-band model, which is consistent with our experimental results. In this model, as hydrogenation proceeds, the CBM bends downward and E_a becomes smaller. A probable scenario is that the donor state (HDS) does not move so much and is located around $E_{\rm F}$, meaning that only the CB bends downward so that the CBM comes closer to the HDS by hydrogenation. This can happen because the density of states of the HDS is similar to the number density of adsorbed hydrogen atoms ($\sim 10^{14} - 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$), which is much higher than the carrier density in the SCL $(\sim 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2})$. Therefore, the level of the HDS hardly moves against $E_{\rm F}$ during hydrogenation; the HDS pins $E_{\rm F}$ as shown in Fig. 3(a). Of course, more detailed observations such as photoemission are needed to prove this scenario, which is an important future work of this study.

In our experiment, during hydrogenation the CB is bent downward to be closer to the HDS (i.e., E_a decreases) and it finally saturates at 60 meV above the HDS [(Figs. 1(c) and 2(c)]. This is because the HDS is one of the in-gap states (IGSs) as reported in many papers [12,13,30–33]; various kinds of IGSs have been reported so far around E_F after irradiation of H atoms [22,34]. Actually, ultraviolet photoemission measurements [35] report shows previously that the valence band is bent from -3.12 to -3.17 eV while the CB is bent from 0.05 to 0 eV by hydrogen exposure of $5 \times 10^4 - 1 \times 10^5$ L in the doped STO. This shows the downward bulk band bending with hydrogenation, and the photoemission intensity of the H–O bonds reaches saturation because the adsorption rate of H atom decreases with increasing H exposures, which is consistent with our measurement here.

Here, we should infer another aspect of the observed change in sheet conductivity as a function of H exposure [Fig. 1(c)]. With a simple model of Langmuir-type adsorption model (without desorption) of H atoms on the STO surface, the coverage of adsorbed H, and therefore the density of states of HDS, are proportional to $(1 - e^{-\alpha D})$, where *D* is the H exposure and α is a coefficient, respectively. This relation also should be applied to the carrier density activated in the CB, and therefore proportional to the sheet conductivity. However, the change in sheet conductivity in Fig. 1(c) does not obey this expectation, meaning that the main reason for the conductivity increase of SCL carriers originated from the increase of the density of states of HDS, rather due to the decrease in the activation energy as mentioned above.

In addition, we measured in-plane anisotropy in transport at the H-STO surface with the square 4PP configuration shown in Fig. 4(a) and Fig. 1(b) (top). However, the samples measured in this study did not show any anisotropy as shown in Fig. 4(b), which is different from the results of surface-state



FIG. 4. (a) A schematic of the square 4PP method, and (b) *I-V* curve with the method. $R \perp$ step: red dots (*R*//step: blue dots) means that the current flows between probe #1(#1) and #4(#2), which corresponds to the step-perpendicular (step-parallel) direction, and the voltage was measured between probe #2(#3) and #3(#4). In both configurations, the slopes of *I-V* curves are almost the same mutually. (c) Probe-distance *d* dependence of resistance *R* measured by the square 4PP method. The yellow dashed line represents the behavior of three-dimensional resistance ($R \propto d^{-1}$), whereas the red and blue lines indicate that of the two-dimensional one ($R \propto d^{0}$) in the cases of $R \perp$ step and *R*//step, respectively.

transport on silicon surfaces with step arrays [36]. Since the present result means that the carrier scattering at steps on the surface does not affect the electrical conduction, we can say that the electrical conduction in the present case is not at the topmost layer of the surface (surface-state transport), but at the SCL just beneath the surface. This indicates that the estimated E_a does not denote the activation energy of the hopping between HDSs, because the hopping generally occurs on the topmost layer of the surface where it should be easily influenced by the anisotropic step configurations. The HDS is a localized state due to H-O bonding, which does not directly contribute to the conduction parallel to the surface, while the electrons donated from the HDS to the CB are transported in the SCL near the surface. The thermal activation from the HDS to the CB results in the semiconducting temperature dependence of resistivity shown in Fig. 2(c).

The dimensionality of the electrical transport can be distinguished by the 4PP method with different probe distances. When the sample is semi-infinite three-dimensional bulk, the observed resistance R is inversely proportional to the probe distance d. On the other hand, when the system is semi-infinite

 H. Tanaka, T. Matsumoto, T. Kawai, and S. Kawai, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 32, 1405 (1993). two-dimensional sheet, R does not depend on d as described in the following equation [14]:

$$R = \frac{\ln\left(1 + \frac{\sigma_x}{\sigma_y}\right)}{2\pi \sqrt{\sigma_x \sigma_y}},\tag{2}$$

where $\sigma_{x,y}$ is the sheet conductivity along the *x* or *y* direction. If there is no anisotropic

$$R = \frac{\ln 2}{2\pi\sigma_{2D}} \tag{3}$$

conductivity like the present case, Eq. (2) can be simply written as $(\sigma_x = \sigma_y \equiv \sigma_{2D})$.

In our result, as shown in Fig. 4(c) the resistance is independent of the probe distance d, and thus the two-dimensional transport is realized for the H-STO. This means that the transport dominantly comes from the two-dimensional SCL in this system. The sheet conductivity σ_{2D} was estimated to be $0.36 \pm 0.06 \,\mu\text{S}\square$ by Eq. (3). The difference between this and the value estimated in Fig. 1(c) (~1.0 μ S) originates from the difference of the measurement position on the surface and uncontrollable defects.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the sheet conductivity increased with adsorption of atomic hydrogen on the STO(001) surface, and it saturated at $\sim 1.0 \,\mu S \Box$ with the saturation adsorption. The HDS is created by the hydrogenation and donates electrons into the STO substrate, resulting in downward bending of the bulk bands to create the SCL beneath the surface. The SCL is responsible for the two-dimensional electrical conduction parallel to the surface. We have found from the temperature dependence of the conductivity that electrons are thermally activated from the HDS to the SCL just beneath the surface and that the activation energy decreases with the amount of hydrogen adsorbed and eventually saturates at the minimum value of 60 meV. This implies that the HDS shows non-rigidband nature and located within the bulk band gap, so-called an IGS. These results on the surface conductivity at STO with hydrogenation shed light on correlation of the atomic structures with physical properties of perovskite oxides and point to a possibility for utilizing it.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) (KAKENHI Grant No. JP16H02108), a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (KAKENHI Grant No. 26870086), a Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratory Research (KAKENHI Grant No. 15K13358), Innovative Areas "Topological Materials Science" (KAKENHI Grants No. JP16H00983 and No. JP15K21717), and "Molecular Architectonics" (KAKENHI Grant No. 25110010) from MEXT and JSPS.

^[2] E. Heifets, S. Piskunov, E. A. Kotomin, Y. F. Zhukovskii, and D. E. Ellis, Phys. Rev. B 75, 115417 (2007).

- [3] S. Ogawa, K. Kato, N. Nagatsuka, S. Ogura, and K. Fukutani, Phys. Rev. B 96, 085303 (2017).
- [4] R. Shimizu, K. Iwaya, T. Ohsawa, S. Shiraki, T. Hasegawa, T. Hashizume, and T. Hitosugi, Appl. Phys. Lett. 100, 263106 (2012).
- [5] M. Naito and H. Sato, Phys. C Supercond. 229, 1 (1994).
- [6] A. Spinelli, M. A. Torija, C. Liu, C. Jan, and C. Leighton, Phys. Rev. B 81, 155110 (2010).
- [7] A. F. Santander-Syro, O. Copie, T. Kondo, F. Fortuna, S. Pailhès, R. Weht, X. G. Qiu, F. Bertran, A. Nicolaou, A. Taleb-Ibrahimi, P. Le Fèvre, G. Herranz, M. Bibes, N. Reyren, Y. Apertet, P. Lecoeur, A. Barthélémy, and M. J. Rozenberg, Nature (London) 469, 189 (2011).
- [8] Z. Wang, S. McKeown Walker, A. Tamai, Y. Wang, Z. Ristic, F. Y. Bruno, A. de la Torre, S. Riccò, N. C. Plumb, M. Shi, P. Hlawenka, J. Sánchez-Barriga, A. Varykhalov, T. K. Kim, M. Hoesch, P. D. C. King, W. Meevasana, U. Diebold, J. Mesot, B. Moritz, T. P. Devereaux, M. Radovic, and F. Baumberger, Nat. Mater. 15, 835 (2016).
- [9] M. Kim, C. Bell, Y. Kozuka, M. Kurita, Y. Hikita, and H. Y. Hwang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 106801 (2011).
- [10] C. Chen, J. Avila, E. Frantzeskakis, A. Levy, and M. C. Asensio, Nat. Commun. 6, 8585 (2016).
- [11] F. Lin, S. Wang, F. Zheng, G. Zhou, J. Wu, B.-L. Gu, and W. Duan, Phys. Rev. B 79, 035311 (2009).
- [12] M. D'Angelo, R. Yukawa, K. Ozawa, S. Yamamoto, T. Hirahara, S. Hasegawa, M. G. Silly, F. Sirotti, and I. Matsuda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 116802 (2012).
- [13] R. Yukawa, S. Yamamoto, K. Ozawa, M. D'Angelo, M. Ogawa, M. G. Silly, F. Sirotti, and I. Matsuda, Phys. Rev. B 87, 115314 (2013).
- [14] S. Hasegawa, I. Shiraki, F. Tanabe, and R. Hobara, Curr. Appl. Phys. 2, 465 (2002); R. Hobara, N. Nagamura, S. Hasegawa, I. Matsuda, Y. Yamamoto, K. Ishikawa, and T. Nagamura, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 78, 053705 (2007).
- [15] T. Kanagawa, R. Hobara, I. Matsuda, T. Tanikawa, A. Natori, and S. Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 036805 (2003).
- [16] M. Kawasaki, K. Takahashi, T. Maeda, R. Tsuchiya, M. Shinohara, O. Ishiyama, T. Yonezawa, M. Yoshimoto, and H. Koinuma, Science 266, 1540 (1994).

- [17] O. N. Tufte and P. W. Chapman, Phys. Rev. 155, 796 (1967).
- [18] D. A. Muller, N. Nakagawa, A. Ohtomo, J. L. Grazul, and H. Y. Hwang, Nature (London) 430, 657 (2004).
- [19] A. Kalabukhov, R. Gunnarsson, J. Börjesson, E. Olsson, T. Claeson, and D. Winkler, Phys. Rev. B 75, 121404(R) (2007).
- [20] A. Janotti, J. B. Varley, M. Choi, and C. G. Van de Walle, Phys. Rev. B 90, 085202 (2014).
- [21] M. E. Zvanut, S. Jeddy, E. Towett, G. M. Janowski, C. Brooks, and D. Schlom, J. Appl. Phys. **104**, 064122 (2008).
- [22] K. Takeyasu, K. Fukada, M. Matsumoto, and K. Fukutani, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 25, 162202 (2013).
- [23] T. Nishimura, A. Ikeda, H. Namba, T. Morishita, and Y. Kido, Surf. Sci. 421, 273 (1999).
- [24] Yu. A. Abramov, V. G. Tsirelson, V. E. Zavodnik, S. A. Ivanov, and I. D. Brown, Acta Crystallogr. B 51, 942 (1995).
- [25] C. E. Young, J. Appl. Phys. 32, 329 (1961).
- [26] Y. Nakajima, S. Takeda, T. Nagao, S. Hasegawa, and X. Tong, Phys. Rev. B 56, 6782 (1997).
- [27] M. Fleischer and H. Meixner, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 75, 1666 (1992).
- [28] W. Wunderlich, H. Ohta, and K. Koumoto, Physica B: Condens. Matter 404, 2202 (2009).
- [29] E. A. Stern, Phys. Rev. 157, 544 (1967).
- [30] D. D. Sarma, S. R. Barman, H. Kajueter, and G. Kotliar, Europhys. Lett. 36, 307 (1996).
- [31] A. Fujimori, A. E. Bocquet, K. Morikawa, K. Kobayashi, T. Saitoh, Y. Tokura, I. Hase, and M. Onoda, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 57, 1379 (1996).
- [32] Y. Aiura, I. Hase, H. Bando, T. Yasue, T. Saitoh, and D. S. Dessau, Surf. Sci. 515, 61 (2002).
- [33] Y. Ishida, R. Eguchi, M. Matsunami, K. Horiba, M. Taguchi, A. Chainani, Y. Senba, H. Ohashi, H. Ohta, and S. Shin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 056401 (2008).
- [34] R. C. Hatch, K. D. Fredrickson, M. Choi, C. Lin, H. Seo, A. B. Posadas, and A. A. Demkov, J. Appl. Phys. **114**, 103710 (2013).
- [35] K. Takeyasu, K. Fukada, S. Ogura, M. Matsumoto, and K. Fukutani, J. Chem. Phys. 140, 084703 (2014).
- [36] I. Matsuda, M. Ueno, T. Hirahara, R. Hobara, H. Morikawa, C. Liu, and S. Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 236801 (2004).